

INTRODUCTION

Cover crops can affect the following components of the hydrological system, and, therefore, soil water (Dabney 1998, Unger and Vigil 1998):

- 1. Amount of precipitation in field/Trapping snow
- 2. Evaporation and transpiration
- 3. Runoff
- 4. Infiltration
- 5. Soil structure and water holding capacity
- 6. Soil temperatures; freeze and thaw cycles
- 7. Subsurface drainage
- 8. Partitioning of soil water inputs

Many studies have investigated how different cover crops and vegetative covers affect soil water content and soil water storage over growing seasons and at longer time scales, but the ability to continuously monitor soil water through new technology provides more opportunities to understand the mechanisms controlling soil water under different land covers.

Objective: The objective of this research is to determine how a rye cover crop and rainfall characteristics affect infiltration and soil water redistribution in a corn-soybean rotation.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Research Sites:

- ADW (northwest lowa)
- ISUAG (central lowa)

Study period: March 1 – May 9 2012

Treatments (all in corn-soybean rotation):

- 1. Corn (C)
- 2. Corn with rye cover crop (rC)
- 3. Soybean (S)
- 4. Soybean with rye cover crop (rS)

Site management:

lanagement	Site		
	ADW	ISUAG	
ye seeding	12 Oct 2011	3 Oct 2011	
ermination of rye followed by corn	12 Apr 2012	6 Apr 2012	
ermination of rye followed by soybean	9 May 2012	11 May 2012	



REFERENCES

Dabney, S.M. (1998) Cover crop impacts on watershed hydrology. *Journal of Soil and* Water Conservation 53(3), 207-213.

Unger, P.W. and Vigil, M.F. (1998) Cover crop effects on soil water relationships. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation 53(3), 200-207.

Effect of rye cover crop on soil water dynamics during spring rainfall events for a corn-soybean rotation in lowa Ryan Goeken, Xiaobo Zhou, Matthew Helmers Iowa State University MALLANDER HALLANDER MALLANDER MARKEN ALLANDER

Soil water content measurements: • Decagon Em50 DataLogger – 1 hr intervals, 5 min intervals • Five soil sensors: 10, 20, 40, 60, 100 cm

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Air temperature and precipitation normals vs. observed weather at ADW and ISUAG sites during the study period. Pocahontas is 19 m west of ADW and AMES_8-WSW is 5 km northwest of ISUAG

	Max. Temp.	Min. Temp.	Daily Avg.	Precip.	Max. Temp.	Min. Temp.	Daily Avg.	Precip.
	(°C)	(°C)	Temp. (°C)	(mm)	(°C)	(°C)	Temp. (°C)	(mm)
Pocahont	as Normal				AMES-8-WSW	V Normal		
March	6.2	-4.8	0.7	55.9	8.2	-2.6	2.8	52.1
April	14.9	1.8	8.3	78.5	16.4	3.4	9.9	88.9
May 1-9	20.0	6.1	13.0	27.2	20.6	7.7	14.1	29.7
Total				161.5				170.7
ADW 2012	2				ISUAG 2012			
March	16.5	3.1	9.4	52.8	17.4	4.8	11.0	43.4
April	18.0	4.3	10.8	102.6	17.9	5.8	11.8	84.3
May 1-9	23.8	12.7	17.3	46.7	24.1	13.4	18.4	27.9
Total				202.2				155.7



The rainfall intensity (grey bars) and cumulative precipitation (black lines) of individual rainfall events at ADW (a) and ISUAG (b). Rainfall events with a cumulative precipitation of less than 1 mm were not included.

Average rye yields for 2012 at ADW and ISUAG sites.				
Site and	Rye yield			
treatment	(kg/ha)			
ADW rC	136			
ADW rS	322			
ISUAG rC	1039			
ISUAG rS	2207			

Cumulative infiltration during single rainfall events vs. precipitation of single rainfall events for ADW (a) and ISUAG (b). **Cumulative precipitation and** infiltration over entire study period at ADW (a) and ISUAG (b). I:P is infiltration to 150 precipitation ratio. At ADW,

precipitation (totaling 10.2 mm)

and infiltration for rainfall

omitted due to removal of

events on 3/20 and 3/22 are

sensors for field management.

	•
- 14	_
Precipitatio	on C

100

This research is part of a regional collaborative project supported by the USDA-NIFA, Award No. 2011-68002-30190 "Cropping Systems Coordinated Agricultural Project (CAP): Climate Change, Mitigation, and Adaptation in Corn-based Cropping Systems" sustainablecorn.org

Differences between mean magnitude of volumetric soil water content increase following 21 rainfall events at ADW and 20 at ISUAG for 10 and 20 cm soil depths.

	Mean magnitude of volumetric soil water content increase following rainfall event (cm ³ /cm ³) ^[a]				
	A	ADW .	ISUAG		
atment	10 cm	20 cm	10 cm	20 cm	

al Maana within donthe at sites (i.e. within columns) followed					
rS	0.026a	0.013a	0.052a	0.018a	
S	0.018a	0.013a	0.025b	0.015ab	
rC	0.026a	0.016a	0.026ab	0.018ab	
C	0.029a	0.010a		0.0100	

nin depths at sites (i.e., within columns) followe by the same letter are not significantly different at p = 0.05.



Hourly rainfall intensity and lumetric soil moisture content of soils at ISUAG in plots without and with rye for the 3/29-30 rainfall ever (preceding corn on the left, and preceding soybean on the right). Soil water content measurements were taken at 1 hr intervals







Hourly rainfall intensity and volumetric soil water content of soils at ISUAG in plots without and with rye, preceding soybeans. Soil water content measurements were taken at 5 min intervals. Data is absent from last hours of 4/16 from rS plot 1 at 60 cm depth because of sensor malfunction

• ADW – no significant differences in soil water content increase in upper soil layers or in infiltration

• ISUAG – significantly larger soil water content increase in top layers found in plots with rye that would be planted to soybean, but no significant difference in infiltration – modified soil water holding capacity in top soil layers, possibly due to increased transpiration, increased soil fauna activity, or modified soil (Dabney 1998, Unger and Vigil 1998)

• Varying soil moisture redistribution patterns with different types of rainfall events at ISUAG

Thanks to Xiaobo Zhou for help managing soil moisture data



United States Department of Agriculture National Institute of Food and Agriculture